App. F. IX-4

Previous References.

1945-41 (Gen P.W.)

File No. 5-45

Serial Nos. 15, 23

Later References.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

PRESERVED

Serial Nos. Nil

Part B for May 1946

SUBJECT

Proposal of promoting of Messrs. B.R. Sundaravaraja Aiyangar, M.S. Venkata-ramaiya and K.S. Ramamma to the Cadre of Asst. Engineers

P.W. 8340

30.5.46

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation

States that the proposal is not acceptable

Representation of Messrs. B.R. Sundararajiengar, M.S. Venkatramaiah and K.S. Shamanna.

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. of 194 . Surveys. Appx. III-2

Serial No.

Ref:- Letter no. 6479 dated 28th March 1946 from the Chief Engineer.

Messrs. B.R. Sundararajengar, M.S Venkatramiah and K.S. Shamanna passed the B.E. Degree Examination in II class in 1932, 1933 and 1937 taking I rank and they were entertained as Surveyors in 1934, 1934 and 1938 respectively. According to the conventions adopted in the Dept for regulating promotions to overseers' grade, 75% were reserved for graduates, of which 25% were for Seniors, 25% for merit and 25% for backward community. To the vacancies intended for merit graduates, the candidates taking a first class (though not the first rank) were selected with the result that those who took the first class in subsequent years (Messrs. M.B. Krishna Iyengar I 1934, M.V. Aswathanarayana Setty I 1935 and G.V. Venkatramu I 1936 superseded in 1937 Messrs. B.R. Sundararajengar and M.S. Venkatramiah and these got promotion as overseers only in the ordinary course according to Seniority in Sept 1940 i.e. 3 years later. Subsequently in 1940 candidates taking I rank with a II class pass had been recognised as merit graduates

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Serial No.

and Mr. K. S. Shanmuga (II class I Rank 1937) was promoted as overseer on consideration of merit, though he was superseded by Messrs. C.S. Subrahmanyam (I class I Rank 1938) and S. Ramachandra Rao (I class II Rank 1935) who were all promoted as overseers on one and the same date i.e. 1940. There has been no order defining merit as I class I rank or I class. Appendix after issue of G.O. dt. 25.2.1944, laying down revised rules governing public works Service, an initial pay of Rs.100 has been granted to the candidates having I rank, even with a II class pass.

2. According to the practice obtaining in the department, a small percentage was reserved for promotion to subsequent grade of merit graduates and when two batches of promotions were made to that grade Messrs. C.S. Subrahmanyam (I class I Rank 1938) and V. Lakshminarayana (I class I Rank 1939) were promoted from Nov. 1942 and February 1943, setting aside the claims of the three II class I rank Students of 1932, 1933 and 1937.

3. The Chief Engineer states that all the nine candidates having I rank with a II class pass were recognised as merit candidates and at another time they were not given such consideration and that such a decision was not uniformly maintained. He adds that all the first

Serial No.

rank students were given an initial pay of Rs 100 under G.O. of 25.2.1944 and that Messrs. B. R. Rudraraapenigas, M. S. Venkatramiah and K. S. Shanmuga are equal in status and pay along with the first class first rank students. In the peculiar circumstances the Chief Engineer strongly recommends that the above three graduates may be promoted as Asstt Engineers and ranked below the last temp. Asstt Engineers viz. Mr. Basavaraj Urs, whom he says should have got still higher places if they had been treated as direct graduates right through.

4. A statement of Surveyors concerned in the case with the necessary particulars is forwarded. The three II class I rank students have been hit hard and have been superseded by those taking I class in the subsequent years. There are more than 16 vacancies in the Asstt Engineers' cadre and the proposals submitted by the C.E. to fill up the same are being dealt with separately. If Government are inclined to accept the recommendation of the C.E. the three II class I rank candidates may be promoted as Asstt Engineers against two out of the 16 vacancies, the question of relative rank being

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

reserved for orders while disposing of the question of filling the vacancies of Asst Engineers and what relative rank they should have among those who be promoted in the 14 vacancies. These are the only three cases of the kind (II class I Rank and 15/41 Mr.

Whatever might have been the procedure adopted before, under merit students only I class graduates have to be taken into account. In the note recently put up for fixing the initial salary of merit graduates both the Secretary P.W. and the financial secretary have said that higher starting salary should be given only to I class first rank students & not to first rank II class students. The three persons now under consideration are II class first rank students and while granting a pay of Rs 100/- to merit students, they should not have been given this pay. But as a consolation perhaps Govt have granted a higher starting salary. Now their request to be given promotion to assist. Engineer's rank in preference to other II class graduates is not tenable; as they cannot be classed as merit students. They have to take their rank amongst other II class students.

In the same batch there may be persons who might have missed a I class by only two or three marks. Still they have

to be classed amongst others who have just secured a pass. There is no limit of deminations have to be made on individual considerations. What the chief engineers and the Govt. have done in the past by treating these three persons in the same ways as any other 2nd class graduate is absolutely correct and there does not seem to be any valid reason for giving them special treatment. They may therefore take their chance for promotion in the usual course by seniority.

Secy) 25/4/46

I agree with the above views put forth by the Asst Secy. If special treatment is now to be given to these men at this distance of time, a number of their seniors will be superseded, which is not fair. The existing order of seniority may not be disturbed by giving special out lists to some

Min P.W) KW 26/4/46 26/4
Dewan

I agree.

KW 27/4/46.

KW 30/4/46 30/4

NW

19/5/46

KW 21/5/46.

Submitted with a draft for appt.

23/5

Secy) 24/5

Done KWps 25/5/46

KW 23/5/46

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR PUBLIC WORKS
27 APR. 1946
No. 8039

M S OFFICE
- MAY 1946
6717

WD-0726-GPB—25,000—15-9-44

C. F. 69]

Continuation Sheet. File No. / Current No. of Page.

Swamy ji no p.l. 831 dt. 30.5.46

No CES

" states that his proposal is not

acceptable to Govt

Relative Rank of a few graduate Surveyors.

	Name	Date of appt as Surveyor	Date of promotion to the grade of Overseer	Sub Engr	Asst Supr.	Relative Rank as at present.
1	Mr. B. Venkatramaiah	1.2.34	18.8.39	Not promoted yet		Asst Engineers
2	" A.H. Srikantaiyer	22.3.34	20 9/39		do	Mr. M.B. Krishna Iyengar
3	" G. Sampath	1 3/34	20 9/39		do	" M.V. Aswathanarayana Setty
4	" K. Venkatesiah	5 3/34	19 9/40		do	" G.V. Venkatramu
5	" B.G. Narayan	17 3/34	19 9/40		do	" C.S. Subrahmanyam
6	" H.P. Shamanna	21 3/34	19 9/40		do	" V. Lakshminarayana
7	" M.B. Srinivasa Iyengar	24 3/34	19 9/40		do	Sub Engineers
8	" B.R. Sundara-rajeingar II cl I Rank 1932	26 3/34	19 9/40		do	nil.
9	" M.S. Venkata-ramiah II cl I Rank 1933	3 4/34	19 9/40		do	Overseers
10	" M. Narayana Rao	27 3/34	3 10/40		do.	Mr. B. Venkatramaiah
	.....					" A.H. Srikanta Iyengar
	" M.B. Krishna Iyengar I cl I Rank 1934	19 11/35	17 6/37	4 1/39	18.9.40	" G. Sampath
	" M.V. Aswatha-narayana Setty I cl I Rank 1935	1 10/36	1 11/37	28 10/39	21 9/42	" K. Venkatesiah
	"					" B.G. Narayan
	" G.V. Venkatara-mu I cl I Rank 1936	7 5/37	8 11/37	8 11/39	21 9/42	" H.P. Shamanna
	" C.S. Subrahmanyam I cl I Rank 1938	6 4/39	19 4/40	10 11/42	21 5/45	" M.B. Srinivasa Iyengar
	" S. Ramachandra Rao I cl II Rank 1935	8 4/39	19 4/40	Not promoted yet		" B.R. Sundararaja Iyengar
	" K.S. Shamanna II cl I Rank 1937	28.4.1938	19 4/40	—	do —	" M.S. Venkatachi
						~~" M. Narayana Rao~~
						" S. Ramachandra Rao
	" V. Lakshminara-yana I cl I Rank 1939	22 2/40	11.41	17.2.43	21 5/45	" K.S. Shamanna
						" M. Narayana Rao

ಪ್ರ ಸ 5-45-15

6479
No...../E

Office of the Chief Engineer for
Irrigation in Mysore,
Dated Bangalore, 26th March 1946.

Satisfactory.

28/3





The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore.

Representation of Messrs. B. R. Sundararaja Iyengar, M. S. Venkataramiya, and K. S. Shamanna, Surveyors.

Sir,

I have the honour to forward herewith for sympathetic consideration of Government, the representations of Messrs. B. R. Sundararaja Iyengar, M. S. Venkataramiya, and K. S. Shamanna, requesting that the benefits given to the merit students be given to them on the following grounds:-

a) That they were first rank students and there were no First Classes during the years they passed.

b) That the first rank students noted in the margin have superceded them.

c) That their names have been included in the Government Order No. P. W. 7568-70 dated 2-5-1944 while fixing the pay of the First Rank students on Rs. 100/-.

Messrs:-
1) M. B. Krishna Iyengar,
2) M. V. Aswathanarayana Setty,
3) G. V. Venkataramu,
4) C. S. Subramanyam,
5) V. Lakshminarayana,
6) H. Sitharamiah,
7) N. Krishna Murthy,
8) K. R. Narayana Rao.

2. In this connection, I wish to state as follows:-

That the three cases under reference can be considered in three stages viz., (a) prior to 1940 when promotions from Surveyors to Overseers were effected with 25% for merit, (b) between 1940 and 1944 when the new Government Order was issued regarding the direct recruitment etc., (c) after 1944 i.e., after the issue of the above Government Order.

During April 1940, promotions from Surveyors to Overseers were effected with 25% vacancies for merit when Mr. K. S. Shamanna, First rank student of 1937 with only a second class was considered

considered for promotion among other four people including Mr. B. R. Sundararaja Iyengar whose turn by seniority for promotion coincided with that of merit. Prior to 1940 other merit students of 1934, 1935 and 1936 were given promotion from Surveyors to Overseers' grade presumably on the ground that they were First class I Rank students. There is no Government Order defining merit as First class First rank. ~~It is therefore evident that~~ In the absence of any Government Order definitely stating that the word merit would mean a First class First rank prior to 1940, the cases under reference must have been considered in preference to those of 1934, 1935 and 1936 (Messrs. M. B. Krishna Iyengar, M. V. Aswathanarayana Setty and G. V. Venkataramu).

3. Presumably that there was a Government Order, if any, prior to 1940, it looks as though it is superceded by the promotion order of 1940 where Mr. K. S. Shamanna has been considered as merit, along with other rank students of 1932, 1933 and 1938. This privilege has not been shown at any time to the rank students in 1932-and 1933 (Messrs. B. R. Sundararaja Iyengar and M. S. Venkataramiah It has therefore to be construed that from 1940 the First Rank students irrespective of class were considered as merit.

4. Between 1940 and 1944 promotions from Overseers to Sub - Engineers were effected giving benefits to other rank students in consideration of their merit. For instance, the first rank student of 1938 who was below the three people (viz., Messrs. B. R. Sundararaj Iyengar, M. S. Venkataramiya and K. S. Shamanna) in the list of promotions of 1940 from Surveyors to Overseers (Mr. C. S. Subramanyam) - superceded them by becoming a Sub Engineer and the three cases under reference do not seem to have been taken for promotion even though the case of Mr. K. S. Shamanna was considered as merit for promotion from Surveyor to Overseer during 1940.

5. After the issue of the Government Order in February 1944, regarding direct recruitment, a Government Order was issued on 2-5-1944 fixing the initial salary of First rank students on Rs. 100,

including the names of Messrs. B.R.Sundararaja Iyengar, M.S. Venkataramiya and K.S.Shamanna. It is therefore evident that from 2-5-1944 at least Messrs. B.R.Sundareraja Iyengar, M.S. Venkataramiya and K.S.Shamanna are equal in status and pay along with other First class First rank students, as there is nothing contrary stated in the Government Order referred to.

6. Further in Government Order No.8067-69 dated 19-5-1944 pay of the sixteen Engineering Graduates was fixed at Rs.125/- corresponding to the minimum pay of the Sub Engineers grade and the relative rank and initial pay fixed on that basis in the revised cadre of Surveyors. Out of the sixteen Surveyors referred to above, the names of Messrs. V.Lakshminarayana and H.Sitaramiya are included though they took the B.E.Degree with distinction (First in first) during the years 1939 and 1940 respectively. Even here the cases of the three Surveyors under reference have not been considered along with them.

7. In the absence of any Government Order definitely stating that merit means a First class first rank student prior to 1940 Messrs. B.R.Sundararaja Iyengar and M.S.Venkataramiya should have been - placed above the First rank student of 1934 viz., Mr.M.B.Krishna Iyengar and Mr.K.S.Shamanna above Mr.C.S.Subramanyam first rank student of 1938.

8. In the peculiar circumstances of the case, I strongly recommend that Messrs. B.R.Sundararaja Iyengar, M.S.Venkataramiya and K.S.Shamanna may be promoted as Assistant Engineers and rank fixed just below the last temporary Assistant Engineer, Mr.M. Basavaraja Urs though in the fitness of things they should have been placed much above, if their cases, i.e., first rank students had been brought under the category of merit as it should have been done.

9. The Chief Engineer for Roads & Buildings agrees with me.

C.O.,

10. I request the favour of early orders of Government in the matter.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

GCS/26th.

CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION.

No. 1427 Office of the Executive Engineer.,
Kolar Division,
To 1 Kolar, dated, the 30th March 1945.
31

647
6/ellus

The Superintending Engineer.,
Mysore Circle, M y s o r e.

Subject:- Representation of Mr. B.R. Sundararaja Iyengar.,
Overseer;

S i r,

I have the honour to submit herewith the above for favour of kind transmission to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation in continuation of this office No. 1307(a) dated, 27-2-45

Memo

I have, etc.,

Executive Engineer.,
Kolar Division.

HSR/30-3-45

To

The Chief Engineer of Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

Through:

The Proper Channel.

S i r,

In continuation of my representation dated 24th - February 1945, I beg to request you kindly to consider my case along with other first Rank Students included in the Government Order No. P.W. 7568-70 dated 2nd May 1944, as already prayed for.

In case, my question cannot be considered along with other First Rank Students I request that the benefits shown to Messrs: N.S. Govinda Rao, and K.S. Shamanna, who are also of the same category like myself, be extended to me also as I have not been shown any benefits of any kind at any stage so far.

I beg to remain,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

B. R. Srinivasarajiengar
29/3

Overseer,
Kolar Division, Kolar.

K o l a r,
Dated: 29th March 1945.

11077
1.3.45.

To the Hon'ble,
Bangalore.

Through:

The Proper Channel.

Sir,

I beg to invite your kind reference to my representations dated 7-6-1944 and 24-7-1944 and request you kindly to recommend my case to the Government for favourable orders in view of the fact that -

1. Mr. M.S. Govinda Rao, 1st Rank of 1938, was given all the benefits of the Merit Student though he secured a 1st Rank in the 2nd Class.

2. Mr. L.S. Shamanna, 1st Rank of 1937, with only a 2nd Class was also given the benefits of a Merit Student with supercession of nearly 70 places during 1940.

3. The Government order No.I. . 7568-70 dated 2-5-44 has merely given me a place in the list without any benefits of a Merit Student enjoyed by other Rank Students and with no further benefits thereafter.

4. My Junior Rank Students are superceding me as and when promotions are effected (for example, - G.O.No.P... 8067-69 dated 19-5-1944) and my case has been deferred without any consideration so far.

I therefore request you kindly to consider my case early.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Kolar,
Dated: 24th Febr. 1945.

B.R. Simdanara jinagar
24.2.

Overseer,
Kolar Division, Kolar.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENG. FOR IRRIGATION
No. 5632
Date 25/2/45
BANGALORE

Memo no 130 dt 24-2-45

Submitted to the Superintending Engineer for favour of kind disposal

Hanumanthaiya
24.2.45

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
KOLAR IRRIGATION
KOLAR

Estt
Mark
28/2/45

2449
6.3.45

Submitted to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation for favourable disposal

M.V. Krishna Rao
Superintending Engineer
7.3.45

Superintending Engineer
7/3

To

The Chief Engineer of Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

Through:

The Proper Channel.

S i r,

In continuation of my representation dated 7-6-1944, requesting to fix me on a suitable Pay and Rank , I wish to add that as per G.O.No. P.W. 8067-69 dated 19-5-1944, Sixteen - Surveyors have been placed in the grade Rs.125-10-175 with - effect from 17-2-1943, which includes Messrs: V. Lakshminarayan and H. Seetharamaiah, ignoring my case altogether.

May I kindly be permitted to invite your kind reference to G.O.No. P.W. 7568-70 dated 2-5-1944, wherein I am placed topmost among the First Rank Students. The above two Surveyors are of 1939 and 1940 batch and were below me in that list. The benefit extended to me by including my name in the original - list is practically nil, as stated in my previous representation and I am left where I was.

I pray that my case will now at least receive its due consideration in all aspects and my Pay and Rank suitably fixed without any supercession by my juniors

Finally, I leave everything to the mercy of my Higher Officers.

I beg to remain,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

B. R. Sundararajaiyan
24.7.
O v e r s e e r,

K o l a r,
Dated: 24th July 1944.

No. 881
Date 27.7.44

24.7.44.

Submitted to the Superintending Engineer, Mysore Circle, Mysore for favour of transmission - The applicant is hard working & deserves encouragement -

N. Ramachandra Iyengar
24-7-44
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
KOLAR DIVISION
KOLAR

Memo. No. 266 dt 2-8-44
Submitted to the chief Engineer for Irrigation in Mysore, Bangalore.

m.v Krishnaswamy Iyengar
1.8.44
Superintending Engineer
Mysore Circle

To

The Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Public Works Department, Bangalore.

THROUGH:

THE PROPER CHANNEL.

S i r,

While expressing my gratitude to the Government for recognising me as a merit Graduate , I wish to place before you the fact that though the benefit given to the merit Graduates by Government Order No.P.W. 7568-70 dated 3-5-1944, has improved their positions in general, it has not done me any appreciable good. As per the orders I am to get Rs.100/- with effect from 25-2-1944 which I would have got in the usual course with effect from 19-4-1944.

Further, I wish to represent as follows:-

1. Though I am a double Graduate taking the first rank in 1932, I was started as a Surveyor on Rs.60/- per mensem, just as any other Double Graduate, while Mr. N.S- Govinda Rao, 1st Rank of 1928 with only a Second Class was started as Overseer on Rs.80/- p.m.

2. I was promoted as an Overseer in the year 1940 in the usual ~~term~~ turn of my seniority among Surveyors, while Mr. K.S. Shaman 1st Rank Student of 1937 with only a Second Class was promoted as Overseer in the same Year along with me by supercession of nearly 70 places.

3. The 1st Rank Students of 1934 , 1935 & 1936 who were Juniors to me were given all preferential treatments of a merit student and have become Assistant Engineers within 6 years of their commencement of service, while I have not been shown any such benefit during my service of ten years.

4. Even the First Rank Student of 1938 was also promoted as Sub Engineer during October 1942 and was placed above me without considering my case.

5. Under the present Government Order quoted above, I am beneficial only to the extent of about a month and a half at Rs.5/- p.m. in my pay., while some others noted in the list of the above Government Order are benefitted to the maximum

and will be drawing the maximum salary of Rs.175/- within ten years service.

6. I wish to explain that the Curriculum was revised and the minimum for 1st Class was raised to 70% during the year 1932 when I secured the 1st rank among that batch, and that there were no 1st Classes during that year.

I have submitted my representations on every occasion and since the Government have been gracious enough to recognise me as a Merit Graduate, I request that my case may kindly be recommended for re-consideration in view of the fact that no concession of any kind has been shown to me at any of the above stages. I may kindly be recommended to be placed below the First Rank Student of 1931 with a suitable ~~place~~ Pay in the light of the Year in which I passed first or at least with such Rank and Pay to enable me for securing a promotion to the Assistant Engineer's Cadre in the next first vacancy.

For which act of kindness, I shall be ever grateful.

I beg to remain,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

Kolar, Dated: 7th June 1944.

Sd/- Sundara Rajaiengar.
Overseer,

CHIEF ENGINEER OF ... NO..... DATE..... MYSORE P.W.D. E.P. SECTION.

4829

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER NO. 17989 DATE 15/6/44 MYSORE CIRCLE.

No 2599 dt 12.6.44.
Submitted to the Superintending Engineer for favour of recommendation. The applicant is hard working & deserves encouragement due to him.
M. Narasimha Iyengar
11.6.44.
Executive Engineer,
Kolar Division

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER OF ... NO. 17892 DATE ... MYSORE P.W.D. 23/6 J.E.P. SECTION.

Memo. No. 3950 dt 20.6.44
Submitted to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation in Mysore, Bangalore, for consideration.
M. Venkatasubbaiah
Superintending Engineer,
Mysore Circle
16/6/44

Sd/- 16.6.44

Sd/- 15/6/44

Sd/- 21/6/44

Sd/- 26/6

Comparative statement of the candidates who secured Ist Rank when there were no Ist Classes during the concerned years.

	1928.	1932.	1933	1937.
	A) N.S.Govinda Rao	B) B.R.Sundararaja Iyengar.	C) M.S.Venkata-Ramaiah	D) K.S.Shamanna.
1.	Passed B.E.Degree Examination.	Passed B.Sc.,B.E., Degree Examinations.	Passed B.E.Degree Examination.	Passed B.E.,Degree Examination.
2.	Secured Ist Rank when the minimum for a Ist class was 66⅔%	Secured Ist Rank when the minimum for a Ist class was 70%	Secured Ist Rank when the minimum for a Ist class was 70%	Secured Ist Rank when the minimum for a Ist class was 70%
3.	Appointed as Overseer on -9-'30	Appointed as Surveyor on -3-'34	Appointed as Surveyor on -4-'34	Appointed as Surveyor in 1937
4.	Promoted as Sub-Engineer in '39	Promoted as Overseer in -4-'40	Promoted as Overseer in -4-'40	Promoted as Overseer in -4-'40
5.	The following 2 Junior Rank students were appointed earlier in the Department and were placed above him and hence no supercession in this case. 1) B. N. Sreeharshan 2) A. Sahappa	The following Junior Rank Students who were appointed later were placed above him while promoting. (a)M.B.Krishnaiengar (1934) (b)M.N.Aswathnarayan Setty. (1935) (c)G.V.Venkatram (1936) (d)C.Subramanyan. (1938)	Same as in B.	The only Junior rank student is placed above hi 1) C. Subrahmanyam
6.	Given all preferential treatment given to a merit Student.	Given Overseer's place in his turn according to seniority without any consideration of merit.	Given 2 places higher while promoting as overseer.	Placed whilepromoting as overseer above all others appointed between 1934 & 37.
7.	Passed Departmental Examination.	Passed Departmental Examination.	Not yet passed.	Not yet passed.
8.	Now working as Asst. Engineer.	Now working as Overseer 28th Rank among Graduate Overseers.	29th Rank among Graduate Overseers.	31st Rank among Graduate Overseers.

Submitted.

PJ Sundararajiyer

7.6.61

Investigation in Mysore, for favour of
disposal. The applicant is one of our smart
young men

Dy Engr 25/3/
Superintending Engineer,
Dog Power Scheme
2/
27/3/ [illegible]

To
CC
27/3

Thirubhasyam
19.3.1945

seen done in
with the juniors
even. In addition the
dated 2nd may 1944 fixing the
Rs 100/- per mensem for all the Rangoon
students including mine (for the year 1937) was not
of any use to me for it took no Cognisance of the
salary that was drawn by me or the number of years of
service rendered. These facts have been vividly explained to
you, Sir, and sympathised by you several times both it is taken
unfortunate on my part, I suppose, to see that nothing
has been materialised till now with a favourable result

I again Crave your honours benevolent
judgment to View the Case of the humble subordinate
of yours, who is serving you and yet to serve you with
Zeal in a manner in which the Case justly deserves, for
which act of Kindness I shall be ever indebted to you

Sir
I beg to remain Sir
Yours most obedient
servant
Thamanna K.S.
Overseer

Submitted to the Executive Engineer
No I Division. Thiruvaiyaru for
favour of kind consideration & needful
orders.
V.V. Venkata Rama
Asst. Engr. 19/3/45

Forwarded.
20/3/45

304
19.3.45

Bhavani
19.3.1945.

To

The Chief Engineer of Irrigation & Power
Bangalore.

Through Proper Channel.

Respected Sir,

I feel it is absolutely superfluous to reiterate the fact that injustice has been done in my case in not keeping me at par with the junior most students of the College even. In addition the P.W. Circular No. 7568-70 dated 2nd May 1944 fixing the starting salary of Rs 100/- per mensum for all the Rank students including mine (for the year 1937) was not of any use to me for it took no Cognisance of the salary that was drawn by me or the number of years of service rendered. These facts have been vividly explained to you, Sir, and sympathised by you several times, but it is rather unfortunate on my part, I suppose, to see that nothing has been materialised till now with a tangible result.

I again crave your honour's benevolent judgment to view the case of the humble subordinate of yours, who is serving you and yet to serve you with zeal in a manner in which the case justly deserves, for which act of kindness I shall be ever indebted to you Sir

I beg to remain Sir
Yours most obedient
servant
Thamanna K.S.
Overseer

Submitted to the Executive Engineer
No I Division, Hirebhavani for
favour of kind consideration & needful.
Thamanna K.S.
Asst. Engr. 19/3/45

Submitted.
20/3/45

P.T.O.

6755
Date 25-3-43
J.R.S. JOG POWER SCHEME.

NO. 1861
20/3/43

Submitted to the Superintending Engineer - J.R.S. Jog
for favour of kind perusal & recommendations.

Chinnadurai
19-3-43

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENG. FOR IRRIGATION
No. 6211
24.3.43
Date
BANGALORE

2080 dt 25-3-43

Submitted to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation in Mysore, for favour of disposal. The applicant is one of our smart youngmen

Vs...
27/3

Ch Lingaiah 25/3/43
Superintending Engineer,
Jog Power Scheme.

9
27/3/43

F. No. 46]

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No. 2794
Encls.

OFFICE OF The Superintending Engineer,
Jog Power Scheme, Jog.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER OF MYSORE
RECD. No. 143
DATE 7/7/44
BANGALORE

Date 30 June 1944.

To The Chief Engineer for Irrigation in Mysore,
P.W.D., Bangalore.

Sir,

Representation of Mr. K.S. Shamanna, Overseer.

In enclosing herewith, in original, a representation from Mr. K.S. Shamanna, Overseer, regarding his initial pay in the revised grade, I have the honour to state that it is seen that this Overseer who has been fixed on a salary of Rs 100/- according to the recent Government Order, practically gets no benefits since in the usual course, he would have earned the increments just two months after the present Government Order.

While other similar cases of Rank students get a better benefit, this Overseer would not be getting any benefit at all, as he would draw the increment just after two months.

In view of the long service of this Overseer and his being a first student though not a first class, his case may be favourably considered and a higher start as in the case of other First Class students, may kindly be sanctioned. His work is quite satisfactory and deserving of the above consideration.

I have etc.,

Superintending Engineer,
Jog Power Scheme.
(Absent from Hd. quarters)

VKS/30th

The representation may be sent to Govt pointing out the discrepancies

Hirebhagewadi
8.6.1944

275
9/6/44

To
The
Chief Engineer of Mysore
Irrigation Branch
Bangalore.

Trough proper Channel.-

Respected Sir,

In obedience to G.O. No. P.W. 5695-5715 dated 25th Feb 1944 and orders there on No. P.W. 7568-70 where in the Ist Rank students of the B.E. degree examination of years are fixed on a pay of Rs 100/- p.m. in the new grade of Sawyers I the undersigned beg to bring these following few lines for favour of kind Considerations and favourable orders.

I have been the Ist Rank student of the B.E. degree examination of the year 1937 narrowly missing a first class by a couple of marks. Keep several representations to your Sir have been to accord equal treatment to all the Ist Rank Students irrespective of class as is in all provincial govts. and in Competitive Examinations. It is rather painful to see that year after year the Junior Rank Students are made to supersed. Even after the new G.O. was announced it was surprising to note that several of the Junior Rank students have been fixed on a starting salary of Rs 125/- p.m. with retrospective effect.

By your obedient servant, Sir, I have

reached the pay of Rs 100/- p.m. in the cadre.
Course of events and fixing of pay at Rs 100/- appears
G.O. has benifitted to me in the least.

In view of the above Circumstances I crave
Your honour to views my case justly and pass favourable
orders in the matter for which act of kindness I shall
be ever indebted to you Sir.

I beg to remain Sir
Yours most obedient
Servant
Thamanna. K.S.
Overseer
Hirebhasgar Dn.

Submitted to the Executive Engineer
No 1 Division, Hirebhasgar for
favourable consideration and orders.
G. V. Venkata Ramy
1/6/46

No 2416 10.6.46

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
No. 11576
Date 12.6.46
JOG POWER SCHEME, S.K.

Submitted to the Superintending Engineer
Jog Power scheme Jog for favourable consi-
deration

Batni Bhima Rao
9/6/46
Executive Engineer
No I Division
Hirebhasgar

Seen
Pass
Date 10/6
Submitted
R
2416/46

To

The Chief Engineer for Irrigation in Mysore,
P.W.D., Bangalore.

Through:

The Proper Channel.

Respected Sir

I beg to bring the following few words for favour of your kind and sympathetic consideration and favourable orders:-

I passed the B.E. degree Examination of the College of Engineering, Bangalore, in the Civil Branch, during the year 1933, securing the First Rank amongst the successful candidates of that year and I was the recipient of Col. Bowen's Memorial Prize, as well as B.V. Krishna Iyengar's Gold Medal, just like the other merit students of other batches.

I beg to represent the following facts, from which it can clearly be observed that my case was not considered in par with that of the other merit students even at entry into service and at each stage of promotions to higher cadres, as a result of which, I have suffered much:-

1. I was started on Rs 60/- per mensem only during the year 1934 as a Surveyor, whereas the other merit students who passed later on were started on Rs 80/- per mensem.

2. I was promoted to the Overseers' cadre after a period of service of 6 years, during 1940, whereas the other merit students were promoted in a course of about 3 to 4 years only and then on to the next grades.

3. Though I am a merit student of the year 1933, the merit students of the years, 1934, 1935 and 1936 are already assistant Engineers and those of 1938, 1939 and 1940 are in the Sub-Engineers' Grade, whereas I am still in the Overseers' grade, drawing Rs 100/- only per mensem at present.

4. Government was pleased to fix my pay at Rs 100/- per mensem with effect from 25-2-1944, in their order No.G.O. P.W.7568-70 dated 2-5-44 in the newly sanctioned Surveyors' Grade of Rs 60-5-125-10-175, duly considering my merit. In this connection, I beg to state that according to this Government Order, a merit student will be started on Rs 100/- per mensem in the Surveyors' grade and will automatically reach Rs 175/- per mensem during the course of 10 years of service. I beg to state that I have put in already 10 years of service in the Department and I am drawing only Rs 100/- per mensem. I pray that the benefit of this order may kindly be extended to me and to fix my pay at Rs 175/- per mensem at least from the date of completion of 10 years of service, i.e., from 3-4-1944.

5. I beg to bring to your kind notice that even though I stand second in the list published by Government in this Order No.G.O. P.W.7568-70 dated 2-5-44 fixing up the pay of merit students at Rs 100/- per mensem, the merit students who were in the 7th and 8th places in that list were promoted to the Sub-Engineers' grade of Rs 125-10-175 and my case was completely ignored.

I am representing the above facts often for favourable consideration of my case, but in vain. I once again most humbly approach you, Sir, for an impartial and sympathetic consideration of my case in view of my satisfactory service of 10 years in the Department to the entire satisfaction of all my superiors concerned and I pray that I may kindly be granted the following:-

1.) To fix my pay at Rs 175/- per mensem at least from the date of

PTO.

completion of 10 years of service, i.e., from 3-4-44, even though I ought to have become an Assistant Engineer by that time, if I had been shown the same considerations that were shown to the other merit students, both at entry into service and at each stage of promotions to the higher cadres;

(ii) and to fix my rank above that of the merit student of 1934.

I earnestly pray for impartial and sympathetic consideration of my case and for the kind issue of favourable orders in the matter.

For which act of your kindness, I shall ever remain grateful to you, Sir,

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
M. S. Venkata Ramaiah.
Surveyor

J.O.G.
9 Jany.1945.

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
No. 3246
Date 12/1/45
NO. 3. DIVISION. J.C.G.

To No. 813
11-1-45

Submitted for favourable recommendation.
Supervising Engineer No. 3 Div.
9/1/45

The applicant deserves the best consideration on grounds detailed in the application. His work is thoroughly satisfactory both on the field and at the table.

Asst. Engineer

Subd.
8/1/45

No. 1338
12-1-45

Submitted for favourable consideration.
Executive Engineer

2485
15/1/45

Scheme for favour of consideration and issue of favourable orders.

Asst. Engineer

No. 1466
15-1-45

Submitted to the Chief Engineer for delegation in his favour, for favour of disposal.
This case deserves special consideration.
Superintending Engineer
Jog Power Scheme
14/1/45

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER (IRRIGATION)
No. 1118
Date 23-1-45
LANGALORE

PWS

765

C. F. No. 46] **GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE**

No. 2694
Encls. 2

OFFICE OF the Superintending Engineer,
Jog Power Scheme, Jog.

Date 22nd June 1944.
23

To

The Chief Engineer for Irrigation in Mysore,
Public Works Department, Bangalore.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION
No. 5292
DATE 25 6 44
MYSORE P.W.D.
J.F.P. SECTION

Sir,

Representation of Mr. M.S. Venkataramaiah, Overseer, No. 3 Division.

In enclosing herewith the representation of the above Overseer, in original, together with a copy of the letter of recommendation No.C 2946 dated 20-6-44 from the Executive Engineer, No. 3 Division, I have the honour to state that the representation made by the Overseer may be sympathetically considered. As stated by the Executive Engineer in ~~xix~~ para 3 of his ~~xxxxxx~~ recommendatory letter, it is seen that the Overseer who has been fixed on a salary of Rs.100/- according to Government order, practically gets no benefit, since in the usual manner he would have earned the increments just two months after the present Government order. While other similar cases get a better benefit this Overseer would not be getting any benefit at all. His request that he should be given Rs.175/-, in view of his long service of 10 years and his being a First student, though not a First class may kindly be considered favourably. His work is also quite satisfactory and deserving of the above consideration.

I have etc.,

23/6/44

Superintending Engineer,
Jog Power Scheme.

24/6/44
3242
29/6/44

Letter No. C.2946 dated the 20th June 1944 from the Executive Engineer Jog Power Scheme, No.III Division, Jog to the Superintending Engineer, Jog.

Representation of Mr. M.S.Venkataramaiah, Overseer.

--

In submitting herewith the application of Mr. Venkataramaiah for very sympathetic and favourable consid ration, I have the honour to state as follows:-

Mr. Venkataramaiah passed the B.E., examination getting the first rank in the year 1933 and did not get a first class. Men passed subsequently after 1933 were started as Overseers on the ground that they secured first class and they are now Assistant Engineers. In the year 1933, there was no first class and he has stood first.

Government in their recent order have taken the first rank for their consideration and not the class in which the men have passed and have fixed up their initial salary on Rs.100/-. He has been started on Rs.100/-. Without this order too, he would have got Rs.100/- in the grade of surveyors in April 1944. He got Rs.100/- only two months in advance. Hence he has not been benefitted by the recent Government Order.

He requests therefore that all these questions might be sympathetically considered and that he may be given Rs.175/- in view of his long service of 10 years and in view of his being a prize holder in 1933, the year in which he passed.

His work is quite satisfactory. I request you to recommend his case for very sympathetic consideration.

"C o p y"

C.R. Iyengar 23/6/—

Superintending Engineer,
Jog Power Scheme.

To,

The Chief Engineer of Mysore
Bangalore.

Through. The Superintending Engineer.
Jog power Scheme. Jog.

and The Executive Engineer
No 3. Division. Jog.

Respected Sir,

I beg to bring in following few words for favour of your kind and sympathetic Consideration and favourable orders.

I passed in B.E. degree examination (Civil Branch) in the year 1933, getting in First Rank amongst the successful candidates in the Civil Branch that year and narrowly misses a first class.

I was appointed as a Surveyor on Rs 60/- only per mensem during the year 1934 and I remained in that post alone for a period of 6 years. During this period, I was superseded by many of my Junior Brahmin Candidates, who were promoted as overseers, on the mere score that they are first in First class, Even though I am a merit student, getting in first rank in the final year examination, bearing Col | Boven's Memorial prize as well as B.V. Krishna Iyengar's Gold medal, just like the other merit students, my case was not Considered on par with them, so much so, that some of my Junior Brahmin merit students are already in the gazetted ranks, while I am still an overseer, having Considerably lost so many places in the department. Besides, I beg to state that I was not even started on Rs 80/- per mensem, just as was being done in the case of other merit students.

Regarding my Career, I beg to state, that I have throughout served to the entire satisfaction of one and all of my superior officers, having worked as draftsman also, in the Chief Engineer's office for a period of nearly two and a half years. My ability and capacity may kindly be judged from the recommendations made by the various officers, where I have served.

The Government, was kind enough, recently, to fix my pay at Rs 100/- per mensem with effect from 25-2-1944, duly Considering my merit. In this Connection, I beg to state that I have put in 10 years of service in the department, and I will be drawing Rs 100/- in it's usual Course from 19-4-44; while the result that the above Concession shown to me is not of any material benifit to me. A merit student, who will now be started on Rs 100/- per mensem, will reach Rs 175/- in the usual Course of 10 years of service, in the light of the Revised scale.

In View of the above facts, I, most humbly, beg for an

impartial and sympathetic Consideration of my case, and I most humbly beg of you, Sir, to kindly fix me up at Rs 175/- per mensem at least, considering the 10 years of service that I have put in, in the Department, even though I ought to have risen to the gazetted rank of an assistant Engineer, already, if I had been shown the same Considerations, as were shown to other Junior merit students. I beg therefore, that my pay may kindly be fixed up at Rs 175/- per mensem at least from 25-2-1944. Commencing all my humble representations.

For which act of your kindness, I shall ever remain grateful to you.

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.
M. S. Venkata Ramaiah.
overseer, No 3 Division
Jog
8/6/44

4710 16

Appendix F. G.—1]

SUBJECT Mr. B. R. Sundararaja Iyengar, M.S. Venkataramaiya and R. S. Shamanna, Surveyors.

Section Gen.

File No. 5-45

Serial No. 23

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. P.W. 8340 30.5.46

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 194 .

To.

The Chief Engineer for Irrigation,
Bangalore.

Date of issue

Sir,

Signed by

With reference to your letter No. 6479/E. dated 28.3.46, recommending, ~~for the reasons stated~~ for the reasons given therein, the promotion of Mr. S. B. R. Sundararaja Iyengar M.S. Venkataramaiya Surveyor, to the grade of and R.S. Shamanna, ~~to the grade of~~ Asst. Engineers, Cadre of Asst. Engineers, I am directed to state that the proposal is not acceptable to Govt.

I have etc.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Kulkarni 5/46
Secy. to Govt.
Irrd.

22/5.

24/5

WD 0874—GPB—25,000—20 11-45

Read 25/5/46